

I think using the nav. channel is a fine idea- or a combination of Nav. channel and bathymetry... it's really the east side of the river from the St. Johns bridge to Triangle Park that the nav channel is further offshore than the bathymetric contour. Otherwise, there isn't that significant a difference. Carrie or Rob- it would be useful to plot the sediment data on top of this layer and see how much of a difference it makes.

Ben

>Ben, thanks for your efforts on this. One thing I am concerned about is
>the lack of nearshore area in some reaches of the river. Do we need to
>adjust the depth? What about using the designated navigation channel as
>our nearshore boundary? Any thoughts?

>

 \succ

>

>

>

 \geq \geq

```
>Carrie, I can provide you with the shapefiles if you'd like.
```

>Best,

>Ben

> - -

```
>(v) 206.526.4654 (f) 206.526.6865
>benjamin.shorr@noaa.gov
>http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/cpr/cpr.html
```

✓

Benjamin Shorr
NOAA's Ocean Service
Coastal Protection and Restoration Division
Physical Scientist, GIS Developer/Analyst
7600 Sand Point Way NE

Seattle, WA 98115

(v) 206.526.4654 (f) 206.526.6865

benjamin.shorr@noaa.gov

<http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/cpr/cpr.html>